Automatic Stay
Property of Estate

<u>In re Peter R. Richardson</u>

Bankr. # 695-62120-fra13

8/16/95

FRA

Unpublished

CBIC, as surety, issued to debtor, as principal, a bond in favor of the Oregon Construction Contractors Board. CBIC filed a motion for relief from stay so that it could exercise its right under the terms of the bond to cancel the bond upon giving 30 days' written notice.

The court determined that, persuant to Ninth Circuit case law, the surety bond was never property of the estate. The motion for relief was denied because, as non-estate property, CBIC did not require relief from stay to cancel the surety bond. The fact that the premium for the bond was paid and had several more months to run or that the bond may be necessary for the debtor's reorganization had no bearing on CBIC's right to cancel.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

IN RE)
)
PETER F	. RICHARDSON,) Case No. 695-62120-fra13
	Debtor.) _) MEMORANDUM OPINION

Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company (CBIC), as surety, issued to Debtor, as principal, a bond in favor of the Oregon Construction Contractors Board. The bond was purchased from CBIC by Debtor in compliance with O.R.S. Chapter 701.

The bond contains the following provision:

This bond may be canceled by the surety and the surety be relieved of further liability hereunder by giving 30 days' written notice to the principal and the Construction Contractors Board of the State of Oregon.

This language is consistent with O.R.S. 742.366, governing surety insurance. CBIC now seeks relief under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d), in order to exercise its rights under the foregoing clause.

I conclude that, since § 362 is not implicated here, the relief sought is unneccessary.

//////

11 U.S.C. § 362 operates to stay certain acts, including any attempt to "exercise control over property of the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(3).

The Ninth Circuit has held that an executory contract that is property of the estate can only be terminated after a grant of relief from the stay. In re Computer Communications, Inc., 824

F.2d 725 (9th Cir. 1987). However, the Circuit has also held that a surety bond, such as the contract at issue here, is not "property of the estate" within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 541. Matter of John E. Lockard, 884 F.2d 1171, 1178 (9th Cir. 1989). In Lockard the court determined that, since the bond was not property of the estate, a creditor's state court action against the surety was not subject to the automatic stay of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(3). The same reasoning applies with the surety's election to exercise its right to terminate the contract.

Debtor responds to the motion by arguing that, since he has paid the full premium on the policy, which is not due to expire for several months, he has a "paid up intangible property interest" in the bond. That may be: however, § 362(a)(3) protects only property of the estate as noted; the bond is not property of the estate.¹

¹ Debtor also complains that CBIC seeks to cancel the bond without compensating him for the premium paid. Whether or not the Debtor has a claim rising out of the cancellation is not at issue here.

Debtor states that the bond is necessary for his effective reorganization. While such a need may be a defense to relief from the stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2), it does not follow that the stay is applicable wherever the need exists.

CBIC has withdrawn on the record its claim for attorney's fees and other relief.

Strictly speaking, the motion should be denied in its entirety, since the stay which the moving party seeks to modify is not applicable in the first instance. An order to that effect will be issued.

FRANK R. ALLEY, III Bankruptcy Judge